CAL CAC MINUTES

February 6, 2020 3:15–5:00pm, 321 Linton Hall

Present: Danielle DeVoss (Chair/WRAC); Jeff Wray (ENG); Danny Mendez (RCS), Jon Keune (REL), Karen Kangas-Preston (THR); Ellen Moll (IAH); Soohyun Cho (Grad Rep), Blake Williams (AAHD), Sherry Stevens

(Staff/THR), Michael O'Rourke (PHL), Alan Beretta (L&L)

For CAL: Chris Long, Sonja Fritzsche

Guest: Rob Roznowski: Dean's Arts Advisory Council Chair;

Guests: Scott Schopieray, Tom Hakes: CAL IT

Secretary and minute-taker: Kangas-Preston

1. Call to order; 3:16pm

- 2. Approval of agenda. Motion to approve as presented Williams, second Keune; approved.
- 3. Approval of January 9, 2020 minutes. Motion to approve as submitted Williams, second Mendez; approved.
- 4. Initial discussion and action items (with guests).
 - a. conversation about CAL IT support (Schopieray and Hakes)
 - i. email was sent in December about what University-wide changes had happened and how CAL IT was affected
 - 1. Engler centralized IT while he was interim president; staffing was lost; mentorship and professional development was not happening;
 - ii. CAL IT supports 500 people and 850 computers; on average six work tickets that come in per day; each takes 2 hours average; working on remote fix options
 - iii. with new CIO appointment in December change is happening; Tony Rogers is centered in Admin building w/ other support people (works with CAL IT but not for CAL IT);
 - 1. staffing concerns: Rogers is one FTE; Hakes is on strategic fixes; one student worker;
 - a. will have more student workers soon
 - b. hoping to build to 3 FTE
 - iv. Schopieray and Hakes working on setting policy for support requests; will communicate w/ CAC
 - b. update on Art Strategy and Dean's Arts Advisory Council work (Roznowski)
 - i. Roznowski shared background materials on DAAC; and ppt of information
 - ii. DAAC charged to respond to MSUArts (arts strategy); arts alignment with CAL core values;
 - iii. President Stanley seems to want a plan for implementation of arts strategy;

- iv. Judith Stoddard will be moving subcommittees forward from plans made with June Youatt; what are outcomes of arts strategy that can be associated w/ University strategic plan?
 - 1. Long has emailed arts chairs to get names of arts alums that Stanley can include in speeches for recognition of artists
- v. CAL Arts Survey results were shared; categories were designated;
 - 1. doc can be shared w/ faculty in units
 - 2. suggestions were paired w/ arts strategy to see where connections emerged
- vi. actions/considerations slides of ideas that can be integrated now and later, categorized;
 - 1. example: arts-friendly admissions policy; targeting talented artists in similar ways that talented athletes are targeted;
 - a. need to work w/ RCAH, CommArts, as well as MUS and CAL;
 - b. hoping to implement for admissions 2021
 - 2. example: integrated studies—values arts as a fundamental part of education—all IS have agreed to this statement;
 - 3. example: arts practitioner courses as general education requirement;
 - 4. some long-term ideas require substantial fundraising that must be guided by the university not just CAL
 - HARP for Arts (Jeff Wray)—designing an arts friendly HARP style program; working on getting a meeting w/ VPRGS;
 - 6. April 15 Arts Mixer to happen
- vii. need for Arts communications/marketing office dedicated only to the Arts
- 5. Dean's action items.
 - a. arts strategy—some conversation around opening of FRIB next spring—and what can be coordinated with that; FRIB is interesting in being associated w/ the arts; looking at university-level artists in residence surrounding FRIB opening
 - i. need to move on this quickly to get people lined up for artists in residence programming;
 - ii. need to know if Provost office will fund or if Deans will find resources;
 - b. Arts Weekend on Mackinaw Island—2020 will be last year of the program;
 - i. DAAC will consider options for summer 2021 to "bring arts weekend home";
 - ii. Possibly integrated with MSU summer events (SCT, Film Production, etc.)
 - strategic planning—CAC & DAAC need to coordinate on how to have a unified approach
 for how we want to shape the university strategic plan; need organization of ideas and
 plans;
 - d. Dean Long has connected w/ Joe Salem to organize a listening session for CAL to be part of the strategic planning;
 - to be coordinated by CAC and DAAC and some chairs/directors so focused approach is made so that direction can be given; DeVoss and Roznowski will coordinate;
 - e. University strategic planning and University DEI planning are happening;
 - f. Chief Diversity Officer search (Chris Long and Melissa Woo)—listening sessions planned;

- g. internal communications through Deans/Directors/Chairs list—some things are noted as "please share w/ faculty" and Dean Long wants to be certain that those items are being shared;
 - i. considering how we are valuing communications; should we create a collegewide TEAMS channel? with sub-channels for individual committees;
 - 1. allows commentary for all college to see
 - 2. Dean's office could share information to everyone immediately instead of through different email lists or different TEAMS channels;
 - ii. is email the best? some prefer it, but not all;
- 6. Discussion and action items
 - a. soft launch of new HR website off CAL website http://www.cal.msu.edu/faculty/faculty-human-resources; links for professional development and policies; will update to Wordpress version soon
 - i. also funding page: http://www.cal.msu.edu/faculty/college-funding-opportunities
 - b. Discussion of the academic specialist and fixed-term faculty promotion process documents (Fritzsche)
 - i. docs shared to CAC via email; process is initiated by Provost office to Unit administrator for review of FT and AS faculty
 - background: had nothing in writing about promotion of AS process; FT promotion has some info in faculty handbook but no college policy; docs have been in process for three years;
 - ii. current reviews follow old process
 - FT Doc has been online since spring 2018 and used in trial form last year; three were promoted to Associate professor FT using this process; process seemed to work;
 - updates from previous version of the document: UNTF contract for Des B review stipulated 10 semesters before review of Des B; new contract is that Des B can be in 4th year; Associate promotion consideration is still in 6th year
 - iv. AS document: based on college practice and AS handbook; several passages come directly from AS handbook;
 - 1. teaching evaluation committee process matches that which has been piloted in FT faculty promotion process;
 - doc has been circulated among chairs and FT/AS faculty; feedback has been integrated; has also been reviewed by AHR
 - 3. would like the AS document to get to a place where it can be piloted; feedback on the process is needed
 - c. Report from the initial meeting of the Task Force on Non-Tenure Stream Faculty Pathways (initial meeting, February 6, 2020 from 11am-noon)
 - i. Co-chairs: Kate Birdsall (WRAC; FT Assistant Professor) and Karen Kangas-Preston (THR; Sr. Academic Specialist)
 - ii. things being worked on:

- Make recommendations to CAC for College-level promotion evaluation criteria specific to non-tenure stream appointment types and ranks for both fixed-term faculty promotion and academic specialist promotion processes.
- 2. Review best practices and make recommendations on ways to make promotion meaningful including and beyond the title.
- 3. understanding of Sr. Academic Specialist position and when someone is eligible
- 4. external letters solicitation—from who and when?
- c. Update from the Associate Dean for CAL Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion working group (Beretta, Mendez, Moll, Wray)
 - i. met w/ Dean Long; working from University of Michigan article; had discussion about how we might approach this appointment; wanted specific examples of how this is being done at other places—faculty? outside? part time appointment?
 - ii. have reports from AG and Soc Sci for how they are approaching;
 - iii. Long has gotten some additional info by being on the CDO search; best practices etc.
- d. Update on codifying the CUC as a standing committee of the college (Cilano) TABLED
- e. Update on unit discussions of faculty service experiences and perceptions TABLED
- f. Review items of importance from University Council and Faculty Senate TABLED
- 7. Summary of and discussion of questions and next steps regarding Dean's 5-year review.
 - a. Dean Long could have his statement ready in a week or two; latest as Feb. 21
 - b. Dean Long and CAC each come up w/ a list of ten people who can submit external letters; Curry's office will do the asks (as far as we know)
 - c. DeVoss shared a schedule draft based on the charge from Terry Curry;
 - d. need to determine what questions we may want to add to the survey; and whether we want grad/undergrad student input;
 - e. survey template has 36 questions; recent College of Engineering has 53 questions—not sure how they align?; we can create the survey we want to use and add to the template as we see fit
 - i. DeVoss will move the survey into a TEAMS doc for CAC to edit
 - ii. need names of ten people that should be on the list to be asked for letters
- 8. Ongoing items.
 - a. Update on dual appointment discussions and work (Feb 27; Fritzsche)
 - b. Process for proposing a course with an AL alpha code (Cilano)
 - c. Bylaws changes to share and then discuss and vote on electronically at/after May college meeting: CIPC additional members (advisor and rep from IAH)
 - g. Academic Governance and CAL representation (https://acadgov.msu.edu/) > create a one-pager about who represents, when, how elected/appointed, etc.? Supposed to have names in March of THREE CAL elected reps; ONE additional CAL rep (per bylaws,

should be CAL CAC chair, but we don't know who will be chair for the coming year in March)

- h. Appointment process for replacement reps on Univ Academic Governance
- 9. New/other business.

Adjourned 5:15pm